## Resolution Sprint - Hallglen 01/01/18 Routechoice Analysis

Long: 10 people completed the routechoice form
Short: 3 person (missing controls 10-13 from long)
At the end of the course people completed a routechoice form. They either ticked a box for one of the routechoices shown below which they took or were very close to or ticked 'other' indicating they took a route not shown.

Routechoices done with http://3drerun.worldofo.com/2d/courseplanning.php
A table showing which routes people took can be found separately.

## Summary

There were 3 main things that came out of the analysis of this sprint.

1) Execution. Hallglen doesn't pose many traps (eg deadends). The real technical demands are in executing your route. If you fail to keep track of where you are on the map it can be difficult to relocate as many places look similar.
2) Steps. It is difficult (and possibly dangerous) to read the map running up and down steps (especially steep wet ones!). As Hallglen comprises many steps this limits the time you can spend looking at the map, increasing the navigational demands. Steps also slow your running speed down compared with slopes. It can be beneficial to take these points into account by taking routes and micro routes (very small route variations) that avoid the steps.
3) Routchoices. The shortest route is often the quickest but only if you execute the route well. Sometimes there was a longer route that was easier to navigate. It's important to play to your strengths. In a race if you lack the confidence to execute the shorter route well then stick to the longer easier one. In training practice taking the harder routes to improve your technique and confidence.

Shortest: A
Tricky routechoice and not many people
took the shortest (and probably quickest)
route. Also a large variation in routes taken.
Also difficult to execute well with many
decision points (eg turns, junctions etc)
meaning high possibility for mistakes.
A: $23 \%$ B:15 C:23\% Other: $38 \%$
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